MANAGING INNOVATION IN THE AGE OF AI: STRATEGIC LESSONS FOR HEALTHCARE SECTORS

Moataz MOBASHER
Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania
motazmobasher@gmail.com

Adina Ioana SAPLONTAI BOTIȘ
Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Romania
adina.ioana96@yahoo.com

Abstract
Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming how organizations innovate, make strategic decisions, and create value. This theoretical paper explores how AI functions as a catalyst for strategic innovation in both global industries and the healthcare sector. Based on an integrative literature review, the study synthesizes recent academic and policy research to identify key determinants, enablers, and governance challenges shaping AI-driven innovation. The findings show that AI's contribution extends beyond technological efficiency—it acts as a strategic capability that enhances organizational learning, agility, and collaboration across digital ecosystems. Successful AI integration depends on five interconnected factors: visionary leadership, data quality and governance, human capital, digital infrastructure, and ethical trust. A cross-sectoral comparison highlights significant contrasts between global corporations—characterized by agility, openness, and market-driven innovation—and healthcare systems, where ethical oversight, regulation, and interoperability constraints slow diffusion but strengthen legitimacy and social value. By deriving strategic lessons from both domains, this study advances theoretical understanding of AI as both a technological enabler and a driver of responsible, human-centered transformation in the post-pandemic era.
Keywords: Artificial intelligence; Strategic innovation; Healthcare management; Digital transformation; Governance and ethics.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.24818/beman/2025.S.I.5-14

1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a defining force in reshaping organizational strategy, innovation management, and value creation in the twenty-first century. The rapid progress of generative AI since 2022 has expanded automation into cognitive and creative domains, enabling organizations to redesign processes, optimize performance, and develop new business models. Across industries, AI is viewed as both a source of operational advantage and a transformative driver of innovation. Yet, despite its promise,

few organizations have succeeded in converting AI adoption into sustained strategic and innovation outcomes.

The healthcare sector exemplifies both the potential and complexity of AI-driven innovation. During and after the COVID-19 pandemic, health systems worldwide accelerated digital transformation, adopting AI for diagnostics, monitoring, and operational management. These developments demonstrated AI's capacity to improve clinical outcomes and organizational efficiency. However, they also exposed enduring challenges related to governance, interoperability, data ethics, and uneven readiness for implementation. In contrast, multinational corporations in other global sectors have often achieved broader AI integration through innovation ecosystems, cross-border collaborations, and dynamic capability building. Understanding these contrasting experiences provides valuable insight into how AI can serve as an enabler of strategic innovation across diverse institutional and regulatory environments.

While the literature on AI adoption and digital transformation has expanded rapidly, it remains fragmented across technological, managerial, and ethical domains. Few studies adopt an integrative, cross-sectoral approach to understanding how AI reshapes innovation management and strategic processes. In particular, the healthcare sector—although one of the most data-rich and innovation-intensive domains— has not been fully incorporated into broader frameworks of strategic innovation and organizational transformation. Moreover, much of the existing work focuses on technological capabilities rather than on the strategic, organizational, and policy mechanisms that enable AI to deliver innovation outcomes.

In response, this theoretical study aims to synthesize existing literature and develop a comprehensive understanding of how AI drives strategic innovation in both global and healthcare contexts.

The specific objectives are to:

1. Examine how AI functions as a catalyst for strategic innovation and transformation across global industries and healthcare systems.

2. Identify key enablers, barriers, and governance challenges influencing AI-driven innovation at both sectoral and organizational levels.

3. Develop a conceptual synthesis that integrates perspectives from digital transformation, strategy, and innovation theory to explain how organizations manage AI adoption and innovation trajectories.

4. Derive cross-sectoral strategic lessons and policy implications that support effective management of AI-enabled innovation in the post-pandemic era.

Through a structured review and conceptual analysis, the paper contributes to a clearer theoretical understanding of AI-driven innovation as both a strategic and managerial phenomenon. By comparing global and healthcare sectors, it highlights patterns, determinants, and contextual differences that shape AI

implementation and innovation success. Ultimately, it argues that managing innovation in the age of AI requires rethinking organizational capabilities, governance systems, and strategic priorities to fully realize the potential of intelligent technologies for sustainable and inclusive growth.

2. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a theoretical and conceptual research design aimed at synthesizing and integrating existing knowledge on AI, strategic management, and innovation. The analysis relies on a structured review of peer-reviewed literature, policy reports, and conceptual frameworks that examine AI-driven innovation in global and healthcare sectors. The study follows an integrative literature review approach, suitable for developing new theoretical perspectives and frameworks by combining insights from diverse disciplines and contexts.

The research design aligns with the article's theoretical purpose: to identify, compare, and conceptualize the mechanisms through which AI acts as a strategic enabler of innovation and organizational transformation. This approach supports the development of a cross-sectoral synthesis and the derivation of strategic lessons for management and policy.

The analysis draws from a wide range of academic and policy sources, including journal articles, systematic reviews, and institutional reports published between 2017 and 2024, a period that captures the most recent developments in AI technologies and post-pandemic transformation. Key databases and repositories used include Scopus, PubMed, Web of Science, and OECD and WHO reports, complemented by cross-referenced materials from leading management and healthcare journals.

Selection criteria prioritized sources that:

- Address AI in relation to innovation management, strategic transformation, or digitalization.
- Examine sector-specific factors influencing AI adoption, particularly in healthcare and global industries.
- Contribute to the understanding of organizational, ethical, and governance challenges related to AI implementation.

The resulting body of literature provides a theoretically diverse yet coherent foundation for examining AI-driven innovation across domains. Because the study is theoretical, validity rests on the depth of synthesis, transparency of argumentation, and conceptual alignment rather than statistical verification. The results are interpretive but robust, grounded in established theory and substantiated by a wide literature base. The methodological approach ensures coherence between the research purpose, objectives, and analytical outcomes, while adhering to the standards of conceptual and literature-based inquiry.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW: CONCEPTUAL AND SECTORAL PERSPECTIVES ON AI-DRIVEN INNOVATION

AI has become a central enabler of strategic and organizational innovation across industries. As a key component of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, AI is transforming how organizations process information, make decisions, and create value (OECD, 2021). Its influence extends beyond automation and data analysis to reshape innovation processes, business models, and managerial capabilities (Papagiannidis et al., 2023). However, while AI's technological potential is widely acknowledged, its integration into strategic management and innovation frameworks remains conceptually fragmented and uneven across sectors, particularly in healthcare (Alami et al., 2024; Hennrich et al., 2024).

3.1 Conceptualizing AI-driven innovation

Early studies of AI emphasized its technological and operational benefits—improved efficiency, predictive capability, and task automation—but offered limited insight into how AI contributes to strategic renewal and innovation (Higgins & Madai, 2020). Recent research reframes AI as a strategic resource that enhances organizational agility and dynamic capabilities by enabling data-driven sensing, seizing, and transformation (Teece, 2018; Mikalef et al., 2021). Within this lens, AI-driven innovation is not a single event but a multi-stage process encompassing applicability, capability development, governance, integration, and transformation (Higgins & Madai, 2020).

Frameworks of AI adoption in organizations highlight that innovation success depends on how firms align technological infrastructure with organizational readiness and ethical governance (Gill et al., 2022). Scholars emphasize that AI transforms the innovation logic from intuition-based decision-making to one grounded in continuous learning and evidence-based iteration (Tambe et al., 2019). Consequently, AI becomes an organizational capability that not only optimizes existing processes but also enables new forms of collaboration, service delivery, and value creation (Mikalef & Gupta, 2021).

In healthcare, these conceptual insights translate into practical imperatives: AI supports both clinical innovation (diagnostics, treatment optimization) and operational innovation (workflow automation, predictive resource management) (Arora, 2020; Mennella et al., 2024). Yet the integration of AI into healthcare organizations remains constrained by institutional complexity, data governance issues, and fragmented infrastructures (Alami et al., 2024). Thus, understanding AI-driven innovation requires connecting technological capabilities with strategic management, governance, and ecosystem perspectives.

3.2 The role of innovation ecosystems and governance

AI-driven innovation increasingly unfolds within ecosystems—collaborative networks of firms, research institutions, regulators, and technology providers that co-create and diffuse innovation (Lepore et al., 2022). These ecosystems enable knowledge sharing, reduce duplication, and accelerate the scaling of AI solutions. In the post-pandemic context, cross-sector collaboration has become vital for recovery and resilience (OECD, 2021). For instance, digital health ecosystems combine academic research, hospital networks, and private tech firms to advance diagnostic and telemedicine platforms (Landers et al., 2024).

However, successful ecosystem governance requires balancing openness and control, competition and collaboration, and innovation and regulation. Open-data policies and cross-border collaboration agreements have been recognized as key drivers of global AI adoption, particularly for sectors that rely on diverse, large-scale datasets (Giugliani et al., 2017). Yet, differing national regulations—such as the EU's AI Act or the U.S. Blueprint for an AI Bill of Rights—illustrate how legal and ethical frameworks shape innovation speed and direction (Papagiannidis et al., 2023; Ranjbar et al., 2024).

Healthcare ecosystems face distinctive challenges. The need for interoperability, patient privacy, and algorithmic transparency makes cross-sector integration slower than in corporate contexts. Nevertheless, healthcare exemplifies how AI innovation requires institutional trust and ethical legitimacy to achieve sustainability (Da Silva et al., 2022; Mennella et al., 2024). Responsible AI governance therefore emerges as a shared imperative across sectors, blending regulatory oversight with participative innovation models.

3.3 Sectoral insights: global and healthcare perspectives

The global economy demonstrates how AI acts as a productivity and innovation multiplier through enhanced analytics, automation, and digital transformation (Naidoo et al., 2022). In advanced economies, policy frameworks now emphasize ecosystem development, data market expansion, and cross-border knowledge transfer (OECD, 2021). For example, global manufacturing and logistics sectors have leveraged AI to optimize supply chains, while financial and energy industries employ AI for predictive analytics and risk modelling (Mi et al., 2023).

In contrast, healthcare organizations adopt AI more cautiously, constrained by strict regulation, fragmented infrastructures, and ethical considerations. Yet the pandemic accelerated digital transformation, driving innovations such as telemedicine, diagnostic imaging AI, and patient-centred data platforms (Barbazzeni et al., 2022; Landers et al., 2024). Healthcare's slower adoption trajectory is not a sign of resistance but of institutional complexity: innovation here must satisfy multiple performance, safety, and ethical standards simultaneously (Alami et al., 2024; Ranjbar et al., 2024). As shown in Table 1, global industries

demonstrate higher innovation speed and agility, whereas healthcare operates under stringent regulatory and ethical constraints.

TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF AI-DRIVEN INNOVATION CHARACTERISTICS IN GLOBAL INDUSTRIES AND HEALTHCARE

Dimension	Global industries	Healthcare
Innovation Speed	Fast	Slow/Cautious
Flexibility	High/Agile	Low/Stringent
Governance/Regulation	Low-Moderate	High/Strict
Data Interoperability	High/Integrated systems	Low/Fragmented
Ethical Constraints	Light institutional constraints	Strong ethical and legal oversight
Ecosystem Maturity	Mature, cross-sector ecosystems	Emerging, less integrated
Agility and Adaptability	High	Measured

Source: Authors

Despite these differences, both global and healthcare sectors share a growing reliance on ecosystem-based innovation, where AI serves as connective infrastructure. This convergence suggests that managing innovation in the AI era requires organizations to cultivate dynamic capabilities, invest in interoperable digital architectures, and foster collaborative governance mechanisms that balance innovation speed with ethical accountability.

4. AI IN HEALTHCARE: INNOVATION TRAJECTORIES AND CHALLENGES

AI is widely recognized as one of the most significant general-purpose technologies of the current era. Its application is reshaping numerous sectors, including healthcare. The COVID-19 pandemic catalysed accelerated investments in digital health and AI-enabled technologies, leading to a rapid increase in new solutions for pandemic-related challenges (Mennella et al., 2024). The potential offered by AI in the healthcare domain has attracted significant interest and investment; however, there is a growing recognition that technical advancement does not necessarily equate to implementation (Alami et al., 2024). Barriers to AI adoption persist throughout a wide spectrum of clinical and operational use cases, making a balanced understanding of barriers and success factors essential for developing strategies to align innovation with health system needs. These persisting barriers mirror those encountered across other sectors; however, the fragmented and highly regulated nature of health systems leads to notable differences in the timing and extent of AI adoption. Understanding these specificities is critical for assessing the role of AI in healthcare and identifying opportunities to maximize its potential. Accordingly, this section examines the innovation trajectories, barriers, and enablers associated with the introduction of AI in healthcare, distinguishing between two main categories of use cases: clinical applications directly

supporting patient diagnosis and treatment, and operational applications improving the efficiency of service delivery.

4.1 Clinical and operational innovation in healthcare settings

AI-enabled innovations in healthcare currently fall under two main categories: clinical and operational. Accordingly, fundamental changes to existing workflows characterize clinical development and support patient evaluation and diagnosis. Such innovations utilize sophisticated AI algorithms for tasks such as imaging analysis, clinical note assessment, risk prediction, virtual consultation, and drug discovery. They enhance the capabilities of medical personnel and improve patient outcomes. In contrast, operational innovations reshape internal processes across healthcare delivery organizations, enabling more efficient resource allocation and higher productivity. AI applications in this area streamline appointment management, optimize operational tasks, and automate records processing (Arora, 2020; (Mennella et al., 2024).

4.2 Data governance, ethics, and regulatory considerations

Transformative AI healthcare applications, while revolutionizing diagnosis, treatment, and administration, face widespread deployment challenges. Societal and governmental concerns center on personal health data safety and breach prevention. AI algorithms often function as proprietary black boxes, complicating explainability and accountability. Ambiguous data-sharing agreements between healthcare providers and private developers further complicate health data use. The integration of AI technology in health institutions also encounters limitations in managing comprehensive electronic medical histories.

Health professionals, policy-makers, and ethicists must address multiple additional ethical issues. The principle of beneficence is compromised if AI algorithms actively contribute to misleading or erroneous health information. Autonomy is threatened when health data are shared or linked without informed, voluntary, and capable patient consent. Fidelity to the patient-physician relationship diminishes without fidelity-enhancing privacy safeguards. Justice is undermined when the ability to access personal health information is determined by factors such as geography and income. Failure to secure compliance with these ethical tenets hinders effective service development. Legislative emphasis on trustworthy and ethical AI with human oversight for high-risk systems, coupled with the establishment of an AI capacity-building regulatory framework ensuring fairness, aligns with the introduction of AI innovations. Nevertheless, successful implementation entails a comprehensive understanding of data governance and quality management.

Health professionals exert substantial control over observational datasets, raising questions about the necessity of adaptable consent models at distinct junctures in the data lifecycle. AI developers need guidance on the data and process characteristics essential for algorithm provision under existing legislation standards. Unclear ownership of observational data generated through healthcare services further complicates awareness of rights and obligations throughout the lifecycle concerning confidentiality and anonymity (Mennella et al., 2024).

4.3 Digital health platforms and patient-centric innovations

Digital technologies offer new solutions to enhance healthcare services, particularly through governance and improved patient experience. Business model innovations include value-added services that facilitate individual engagement, telehealth services that operate beyond physical constraints, and wellness services that enable care delivery outside conventional healthcare institutions. Innovators leverage digital solutions to shift attention from sickness to health, move from doing things for to with people, employ feedback from sensing to acting, and transition assistance from after to before a crisis. Maximizing these opportunities requires system-level development involving policymaking, participative co-creation, and solution provision (Barbazzeni et al., 2022).

Digital health systems have matured into platforms, orchestrating diverse ecosystems to engage multiple stakeholders across sectors and domains. These platforms aim to obtain operational data, offer supplementary services, and establish governance frameworks. Telehealth platforms and digital medicine operational platforms exemplify successful implementations. Health data platforms are also emerging, with interoperable and open architectures enhancing data utilization. Such platformization marks a significant shift from incentive- or solution-centric models to stakeholder-centric frameworks that allow participative innovation and co-creation (Landers et al., 2024).

5. FINDINGS: PATTERNS, DETERMINANTS, AND OUTCOMES

The synthesis of literature reveals that AI-driven innovation represents not merely a technological shift but a strategic transformation process that redefines how organizations create and capture value across sectors. By integrating perspectives from strategic management, innovation theory, and healthcare systems research, several cross-cutting patterns, determinants, and outcomes emerge. These insights demonstrate both the convergences and the divergences in how global corporations and healthcare organizations manage AI-driven innovation, and they illuminate the mechanisms that underpin successful adoption and implementation.

5.1 Key patterns in AI-driven strategic innovation

Across industries, AI acts as a catalyst for strategic renewal, driving three interrelated patterns of innovation:

1. Data-centric innovation logic: Organizations increasingly view data as a core strategic asset, transforming innovation processes from intuition-based to evidence-based decision-making. This pattern is visible in global corporations using predictive analytics for product development and in healthcare systems employing machine learning to enhance clinical decision support.

2. Ecosystem-based collaboration: Successful AI innovation rarely occurs in isolation. Instead, it depends on partnerships among firms, governments, and research institutions that share data, resources, and expertise. Cross-sectoral networks and innovation ecosystems enable diffusion of best practices and the scaling of AI solutions—whether in multinational corporate alliances or public–private partnerships in healthcare.

3. Strategic ambidexterity: AI requires balancing exploration (developing new data-driven solutions) and exploitation (improving efficiency and outcomes). Global firms demonstrate ambidexterity through agile experimentation, while healthcare institutions face the challenge of achieving innovation within highly regulated, risk-averse environments.

These patterns collectively indicate that AI innovation extends beyond technological capability; it involves strategic reconfiguration of organizational capabilities, governance systems, and learning mechanisms.

5.2 Determinants of successful AI-driven innovation

A synthesis of the literature identifies several critical determinants of success, which interact dynamically across organizational, technological, and institutional levels:

- Organizational readiness and leadership vision: Leadership commitment and digital literacy are decisive for aligning AI initiatives with strategic objectives. In healthcare, the role of clinical champions and policy advocates is analogous to digital leaders in global enterprises.

- Data quality and governance: Access to high-quality, interoperable, and ethically managed data underpins innovation outcomes. The healthcare sector faces additional complexity due to privacy, consent, and ownership challenges, whereas global firms can more easily leverage internal and customer data ecosystems.

- Skills, talent, and cultural alignment: Both sectors struggle with workforce capability gaps. Successful organizations cultivate multidisciplinary teams that combine technical expertise with strategic insight and ethical awareness.

- Infrastructure and technological maturity: AI maturity correlates strongly with digital infrastructure, interoperability standards, and computing resources. Healthcare lags behind due to fragmented systems and legacy technologies, limiting scalability.

- Regulation and ethical trust: The regulatory environment profoundly shapes innovation trajectories. Transparent governance, accountability, and ethical AI practices enhance legitimacy and adoption. This determinant is especially salient in healthcare, where trust is integral to patient–provider relationships.

Together, these determinants reveal that AI-driven innovation flourishes where technological capability is complemented by institutional trust, strategic coherence, and collaborative governance.

5.3 Emerging outcomes and theoretical insights

The synthesized findings lead to several theoretical implications aligned with the paper's conceptual objectives:

1. AI as a dynamic capability: AI enhances an organization's ability to sense opportunities, seize them through data-driven experimentation, and transform structures accordingly. This aligns with the dynamic capabilities' framework in strategy theory.

2. AI-driven innovation as an ecosystemic phenomenon: Innovation outcomes depend less on individual firm behavior and more on networked relationships and shared digital infrastructures. This extends innovation management theory into multi-stakeholder domains, particularly relevant for healthcare.

3. Institutional adaptation and governance evolution: Effective AI innovation requires new governance architectures—combining regulation, ethics, and adaptive management. This insight bridges innovation studies with institutional theory, showing that governance flexibility is essential to sustain innovation in constrained environments.

4. Strategic alignment and legitimacy: The successful institutionalization of AI innovation depends not only on efficiency but on perceived legitimacy and alignment with societal values, especially in healthcare. Strategic innovation, therefore, involves a dual pursuit of performance and purpose.

From a holistic perspective, AI-driven innovation represents a multi-level strategic transformation—technological, organizational, and societal. The global sector illustrates how AI can scale and commercialize innovation through integrated data ecosystems, while healthcare demonstrates the moral, ethical, and institutional boundaries that shape technology's responsible use.

In the author's view, the intersection of these perspectives defines the frontier of strategic innovation in the AI era: success will depend on the capacity to combine global agility with healthcare's human-centered ethos. This synthesis implies that innovation in the age of AI must evolve toward responsible intelligence—the ability to integrate ethical foresight, collaborative governance, and adaptive learning into strategy and innovation management.

6. CONCLUSIONS

AI has become a decisive force in shaping innovation and strategic transformation across both global industries and healthcare systems. The findings of this theoretical synthesis confirm that AI functions not merely as a technology but as a strategic capability that enables organizations to sense, adapt, and innovate in complex and uncertain environments. Across contexts, success in AI-driven innovation depends on five interconnected determinants: visionary leadership, strong data governance, workforce capability, robust infrastructure, and trust-based regulatory frameworks. These factors collectively determine whether AI adoption translates into genuine innovation and societal value.

Comparing global and healthcare sectors reveals a shared ambition but divergent pathways. Global corporations have advanced through market-driven agility and open innovation ecosystems, while healthcare organizations remain constrained by regulation, data fragmentation, and ethical oversight demands. Nevertheless, healthcare's human-centered ethos offers essential guidance for ensuring that AI serves public value, not only efficiency. This cross-sector comparison highlights the need for responsible intelligence—the alignment of technological potential with ethical foresight and governance flexibility.

From a policy and management perspective, the evidence supports a shift toward ecosystem-based innovation strategies, integrating governments, academia, industry, and civil society to promote data sharing, transparency, and equitable access. For healthcare systems in particular, effective AI integration requires long-term investment in digital infrastructure, talent development, and adaptive regulation that safeguards trust.

Conceptually, this study advances understanding of AI as a dynamic capability and ecosystem enabler, reinforcing its role in reshaping innovation theory and management practice. It also demonstrates that sustainable AI transformation relies on strategic coherence across technological, organizational, and institutional levels. Future theoretical and empirical work should refine these insights by examining how governance, ethics, and learning systems evolve together to sustain innovation in an increasingly intelligent and interconnected world.

Ultimately, managing innovation in the age of AI demands more than digital transformation—it requires a redefinition of strategic purpose. The most successful organizations, whether global enterprises or

healthcare institutions, will be those able to combine agility with accountability, efficiency with equity, and innovation with integrity.

REFERENCES

Alami, H., Lehoux, P., Papoutsi, C., Shaw, S. E., Fleet, R., & Fortin, J. P. (2024). Understanding the integration of artificial intelligence in healthcare organisations and systems through the NASSS framework: a qualitative study in a leading Canadian academic centre. BMC health services research, 24(1), 701.

Arora, A. (2020). Conceptualising artificial intelligence as a digital healthcare innovation: an introductory review. Medical Devices: Evidence and Research, 223-230.

Barbazzeni, B., Haider, S., & Friebe, M. (2022). Engaging through awareness: purpose-driven framework development to evaluate and develop future business strategies with exponential technologies toward healthcare democratization. Frontiers in public health, 10, 851380.

Da Silva, M., Horsley, T., Singh, D., Da Silva, E., Ly, V., Thomas, B., & Flood, C. M. (2022). Legal concerns in health-related artificial intelligence: a scoping review protocol. Systematic Reviews, 11(1), 123.

Gill, N., Mathur, A., & Conde, M. V. (2022). A brief overview of AI governance for Responsible Machine Learning Systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13130.

Giugliani, E., Battisti, S., Prikladnicki, R., & Traverso, P. (2017). High impact drivers in innovation ecosystems: the case of Tecnopuc-FBK joint lab. In CIKI-VII Congresso Internacional de Conhecimento e Inovação, 2017, Brasil.

Hennrich, J., Ritz, E., Hofmann, P., & Urbach, N. (2024). Capturing artificial intelligence applications' value proposition in healthcare–a qualitative research study. BMC Health Services Research, 24(1), 420.

Higgins, D., & Madai, V. I. (2020). From bit to bedside: a practical framework for artificial intelligence product development in healthcare. Advanced intelligent systems, 2(10), 2000052.

Landers, C., Blasimme, A., & Vayena, E. (2024). Sync fast and solve things—best practices for responsible digital health. npj Digital Medicine, 7(1), 113.

Lepore, D., Frontoni, E., Micozzi, A., Moccia, S., Romeo, L., & Spigarelli, F. (2023). Uncovering the potential of innovation ecosystems in the healthcare sector after the COVID-19 crisis. Health Policy, 127, 80-86.

Mennella, C., Maniscalco, U., De Pietro, G., & Esposito, M. (2024). Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. Heliyon, 10(4).

Mi, L., Liu, W., Yuan, Y. H., Shao, X., & Zhong, Y. (2023). Adoption of AI in response to COVID-19—a configurational perspective. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 27(4), 1455-1467.

Mikalef, P., & Gupta, M. (2021). Artificial intelligence capability: Conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance. Information & management, 58(3), 103434.

Naidoo, S., Bottomley, D., Naidoo, M., Donnelly, D., & Thaldar, D. W. (2022). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Proposals for policy development in South Africa. South African journal of bioethics and law, 15(1), 11-16.

OECD (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Society: Policy Challenges and Opportunities.

Papagiannidis, E., Enholm, I. M., Dremel, C., Mikalef, P., & Krogstie, J. (2023). Toward AI governance: Identifying best practices and potential barriers and outcomes. Information Systems Frontiers, 25(1), 123-141.

Ranjbar, A., Mork, E. W., Ravn, J., Brøgger, H., Myrseth, P., Østrem, H. P., & Hallock, H. (2024). Managing risk and quality of AI in healthcare: are hospitals ready for implementation?. Risk management and healthcare policy, 877-882.

Teece, D. J. (2018). Business models and dynamic capabilities. Long range planning, 51(1), 40-49.